The Yukawa Model in One Space -One time Dimensions

Laure Gouba
The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP),
Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste Italy.
Email: lgouba@ictp.it

The Yukawa Model is revisited in one space - one time dimensions in a complete different approach than the ones in the literature. We show that at the classical level it is a constrained system. We apply the Dirac method of quantization of constrained systems. Then by mean of the bosonization procedure we uniformize the Hamiltonian at the quantum level in terms of pseudo-scalar field and chiral components of a real scalar field.

arXiv:1608.03504v1 [hep-th] 11 Aug 2016

In 1934 the Japanese physicist, Hideki Yukawa, predicted a new particle, which later became known as the pi meson, or the pion for short [1]. He considered these pions as the carrier of the force exchanged between two nucleons. The Yukawa coupling is the coupling between nucleon and pion that has been generalized as any coupling between scalars and fermions. In particle physics, Yukawa's interaction or Yukawa coupling, is an interaction between a scalar field ϕ and a Dirac field ψ of the type $V = g\bar{\psi}\phi\psi$ for a scalar field or $V = g\bar{\psi}i\gamma^5\phi\psi$ for a pseudoscalar field, g is called a Yukawa coupling constant. Recently the scalar Yukawa model has been introduced, where the Dirac field is replaced by a complex scalar field [2–4]. The Yukawa interaction is also used in the Standard Model to describe the coupling between the Higgs field and massless quark and lepton fields (i.e., the fundamental fermion particles). Several papers about Yukawa models can be found in the literature [5–8]. We are interested in the Yukawa Model in one spatial - one time dimensions that we consider as a good testing ground of nonperturbative studies in Yukawa models. We start by considering the model at the classical level, then we apply the Dirac method of quantization of constrained systems. By mean of bosonization procedure we reformulate the model into a quantum model of scalar fields.

We consider in a Minkowski space-time, the Yukawa model where the coupling is between a pseudo-scalar field ans Dirac field. The dynamic of this system is given by the Lagrangian density,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - \frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi - g\bar{\psi}i\gamma^5\phi\psi - V(\phi)\ , \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is a pseudo-scalar field, ψ is the Dirac massless field, g is the dimensionless coupling constant, V is the potential that for the moment is left arbitrary. We consider the model in $1+1$ dimensional space-time where the spacetime coordinates indexes are $\mu = 0, 1$ while spacetime metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+,-)$. An implicit choice of units is such that $\hbar = c = 1$. The matrices γ^μ define the Clifford Dirac algebra associated to the two-dimensional Minkowski space-time whose representation is given by the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{2}$$

and

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma^1, \quad \gamma^1 = i\sigma^2, \quad \gamma_5 = \gamma^0\gamma^1 = -\sigma^3. \tag{3}$$

Then in this representation of Dirac, the Dirac spinor ψ is splitted as follows

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi^\dagger = (\psi_+^\dagger\ \psi_-^\dagger)\ , \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_5\psi_\pm = \mp\psi_\pm, \quad \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \tag{4}$$

where ψ_+ is the spinor of left chirality and ψ_- the spinor of right chirality.

We consider a space-time topology τ that is cylindrical by compactifying the space real line into a circle S^1 of circumference $L = 2\pi R$, where R is the radius of the circle. We formaly set

$$\tau = \mathbb{R} \times S^1. \tag{5}$$

with the above topology, it is necessary to define the periodicity boundary conditions. We choose the following boundary conditions

$$\psi_\pm(t, x+L) = -e^{2i\pi\alpha_\pm}\psi_\pm(t,x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in S^1, \tag{6}$$

with $\alpha_\pm$ being real constants. In two dimensional spacetime ($D = 2$), the dimensionality of the fields are set

$$[\phi] : \frac{D-2}{2} = 0; \quad [\psi] : \frac{D-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{7}$$

Let's first determine the equation of motion for each variables that is given the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ and a degree of freedom X, the Euler Lagrange equations

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu X)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial X} \ . \tag{8}$$

In order to obtain the equations of motion, we need an explicit expression of the Lagrangian density in equation (1) that is equivalent after expansion and simplification to

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_0\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\phi)^2 + \frac{i}{2}\psi^\dagger\partial_0\psi - \frac{i}{2}\partial_0\psi^\dagger\psi + \frac{i}{2}\psi^\dagger\gamma^5\partial_1\psi - \frac{i}{2}\partial_1\psi^\dagger\gamma^5\psi - ig\phi\psi^\dagger\gamma^1\psi - V(\phi). \tag{9}$$

The fundamental degree of freedom are the following:

$$\phi, \ \psi, \ \psi^\dagger. \tag{10}$$

The equation of motion for the variable ϕ is the following

$$\partial_0 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\phi)} + \partial_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_1\phi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \ , \tag{11}$$

that is

$$(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2)\phi + ig\psi^\dagger\gamma^1\psi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0 \ . \tag{12}$$

For the variable ψ, the equation of motion is

$$\partial_0 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\psi)} + \partial_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_1\psi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} \ , \tag{13}$$

that is

$$\partial_0\psi^\dagger + \partial_1\psi^\dagger\gamma_5 + 2g\phi\psi^\dagger\gamma^1 = 0. \tag{14}$$

The equation of motion for the variable $\psi^\dagger$, is determine by

$$\partial_0 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\psi^\dagger)} + \partial_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_1\psi^\dagger)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^\dagger} \ , \tag{15}$$

that is

$$\partial_0\psi + \partial_1\gamma_5\psi - 2g\phi\gamma^1\psi = 0 \ . \tag{16}$$

This model is characterized by the existence of constraints that appear naturally from the expressions of the conjugate momenta of the degrees of freedom of the system. The Literature about constrained systems is wide, for instance one can read in [9]. The momenta variables associated to the degrees of freedom of the system, $\phi, \ \psi, \ \psi^\dagger$ are respectively

$$\pi_\phi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\phi)} = \partial_0\phi; \quad \pi_\psi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\psi)} = -\frac{i}{2}\psi^\dagger; \quad \pi_{\psi^\dagger} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\psi^\dagger)} = -\frac{i}{2}\psi \ , \tag{17}$$

where the left derivation convention has been performed for the fermionic variables ψ and $\psi^\dagger$ that are Grassmann odd. The phase space is then characterized by the pairs

$$\{(\phi(t,x), \pi_\phi(t,x)), \ (\psi(t,x), \pi_\psi(t,x)), \ (\psi^\dagger(t,x), \pi_{\psi^\dagger}(t,x))\} \ . \tag{18}$$

By definition, these pairs are canonically conjugated. In other words their elementary Poisson brackets y include the Grassmann odd variables, at equal time are given by

$$\{\phi(t,x),\pi_\phi(t,y)\} = \delta(x-y) = -\{\pi_\phi(t,y),\phi(t,x)\}; \tag{19}$$
$$\{\psi(t,x),\pi_\psi(t,y)\} = -\delta(x-y) = \{\pi_\psi(t,y),\psi(t,x)\}; \tag{20}$$
$$\{\psi^\dagger(t,x);\pi_{\psi^\dagger}(t,y)\} = -\delta(x-y) = \{\pi_{\psi^\dagger}(t,y),\psi^\dagger(t,x)\}\ . \tag{21}$$

Without any confusion and ambiguity we choose to omit in the rest of the paper the variables (t,x). The aim of this paper is to apply the canonical formalism for quantizing theories with constraints (Dirac formalism). This formalism has been successfully used in [10] and widely in the literature, for instance in [9, 11, 12].

The conjugate momenta π_ψ and $\pi_{\psi^\dagger}$ induce the following constraints

$$\sigma_1 = \pi_\psi + \frac{i}{2}\psi^\dagger; \quad \sigma_2 = \pi_{\psi^\dagger} + \frac{i}{2}\psi\ . \tag{22}$$

These constraints are space-time classical configurations that are in terms of the degres of freedom of the system. These constraints are called the primary constraints. Since the dynamic of the system depend on these constraints, it is compulsory to study the dynamical evolution of the system. Given the Lagrangian density in (9), the canonical Hamiltonian density follows as

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \partial_0\phi\pi_\phi + \partial_0\psi\pi_\psi + \partial_0\psi^\dagger\pi_{\psi^\dagger} - \mathcal{L}\ , \tag{23}$$

that is after substitution and simplification of $\mathcal{L}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\pi_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\phi)^2 - \frac{i}{2}\psi^\dagger\gamma^5\partial_1\psi + \frac{i}{2}\partial_1\psi^\dagger\gamma^5\psi + ig\phi\psi^\dagger\gamma^1\psi + V(\phi) \tag{24}$$

and the canonical Hamitonian is

$$H = \int dx\mathcal{H}_0. \tag{25}$$

Once we have specified the phase space in equation (18), the fundamental Poisson brackets in equations (19), (20), (21) and the canonical Hamiltonian density in equation (24), we can study now the evolution of the constraints in order to check if they generate other constraints and proceed to their classification according to the Dirac formalism.

The primary Hamiltonian is given by the summation of the canonical Hamiltonian and a linear combination of the primary constraints as follows

$$H_1 = H_0 + \int dx(u_{(1)}\sigma_1 + u_{(2)}\sigma_2). \tag{26}$$

In order to check whether the constraints $\sigma_i,\ i=1,2$ generate other constraints, we solve the equations $\{\sigma_i,\ H_1\}=0,\ i=1,2$.

$$\{\sigma_1,H_1\} = u_{(2)}\int dx(-\frac{i}{2}\delta(x-y)); \quad \{\sigma_2,H_1\} = u_{(1)}\int dx(-\frac{i}{2})\delta(x-y). \tag{27}$$

Solving $\{\sigma_i,H_1\}=0$ implies some choices for $u_{(i)},\ i=1,2$ and that means that the constraints $\sigma_i,\ i=1,2$ do not generate other constraints. The total numbers of constraints for this model is then equal to 2. An algebra of the constraints is as follows

$$\{\sigma_1,\ \sigma_1\}=0; \quad \{\sigma_1,\ \sigma_2\} = -\frac{i}{2}\delta(x-y); \quad \{\sigma_2,\sigma_1\} = -\frac{i}{2}\delta(x-y); \quad \{\sigma_2,\ \sigma_2\}=0. \tag{28}$$

With the algebra in (28), we conclude that all the constraints are of second class. Let's call Δ the matrix of the Poisson brackets of the second class constraints. According to the Dirac formalism of quantization, we should define the algebra of the Dirac brackets by using the general formula

$$\{f,\ g\}_D = \{f,\ g\} - \sum_{s,s'}\{f,\ \sigma_s\}C^{ss'}\{\sigma_{s'},\ g\}, \tag{29}$$

where f and g are two degrees of freedom, $\sigma_s,\ \sigma_{s'}$ the constraints and C the inverse matrix of the matrix Δ. Then it follows for our system the Dirac brackets

$$\{\phi,\pi_\phi\}_D = \delta(x-y) = -\{\pi_\phi,\phi\}_D; \tag{30}$$

$$\{\psi_\pm,\psi_\pm^\dagger\}_D = -i\delta(x-y) = \{\psi_\pm^\dagger,\psi_\pm\}_D. \tag{31}$$

The fundamental Hamiltonian formulation of the system is then given after the complete analyse of the system by the degrees of freedom $\phi(t,x),\ \pi_\phi(t,x),\ \psi(t,x),\ \pi_\psi(t,x),\ \psi^\dagger(t,x),\ \pi_{\psi^\dagger}(t,x)$, the fundamental simplectic structure being given by the Dirac brackets, that appear now implicitely since we omit the index D as

$$\{\phi(t,x),\pi_\phi(t,y)\} = \delta(x-y) = -\{\pi_\phi(t,x),\phi(t,y)\}; \tag{32}$$

$$\{\psi_\pm(t,x),\psi_\pm^\dagger(t,y)\} = -i\delta(x-y) = \{\psi_\pm^\dagger(t,x),\psi_\pm(t,y)\}, \tag{33}$$

and the fundamental Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\pi_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\phi)^2 - \frac{i}{2}\psi^\dagger\gamma^5\partial_1\psi + \frac{i}{2}\partial_1\psi^\dagger\gamma^5\psi + ig\phi\psi^\dagger\gamma^1\psi + V(\phi). \tag{34}$$

Now we proceed by canonical quantization, that is the correspondence principle that states that to each of the classical structures should correspond a structure-like for the quantum system. Then at the quantum level, the phase space is the abstract Hilbert space which elements are called quantum states. To the classical variables of the phase space correspond linear operators acting on the Hilbert space. To the Poisson brackets correspond now an algebrac structure of commutation for the quantum system. We still consider that $\hbar = 1 = c$. We have then the fundamental bosonic and fermionic operators:

$$\hat{\phi}(t,x),\ \hat{\pi}_\phi(t,x),\ \hat{\psi}_\pm(t,x),\ \hat{\psi}_\pm^\dagger(t,x), \tag{35}$$

that satisfy the fundamental commutation and anticommutation relations respectively for the bosonic operators and the fermionic operators:

$$[\hat{\phi}(t,x),\ \hat{\pi}_\phi(t,y)] = i\delta(x-y) = -[\hat{\pi}_\phi(t,x),\ \hat{\phi}(t,y)]\ ; \tag{36}$$

$$\{\hat{\psi}_\pm(t,x),\ \hat{\psi}_\pm^\dagger(t,y)\} = \delta(x-y) = \{\hat{\psi}_\pm^\dagger(t,x),\ \hat{\psi}_\pm(t,y)\}\ . \tag{37}$$

The quantum Hamiltonian density is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\hat{\phi})^2 - \frac{i}{2}\hat{\psi}^\dagger\gamma^5\partial_1\hat{\psi} + \frac{i}{2}\partial_1\hat{\psi}^\dagger\gamma^5\hat{\psi} + ig\hat{\phi}\psi^\dagger\gamma^1\hat{\psi} + V(\hat{\phi}). \tag{38}$$

The Hamiltonian (38) can be also written in terms of their chiral components of the fermionic operators as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\hat{\phi})^2 + \frac{i}{2}\left(\hat{\psi}_+^\dagger\partial_1\hat{\psi}_+ - \partial_1\hat{\psi}_+^\dagger\hat{\psi}_+\right) - \frac{i}{2}\left(\hat{\psi}_-^\dagger\partial_1\hat{\psi}_- - \partial_1\hat{\psi}_-^\dagger\hat{\psi}_-\right)\\ &+ ig\hat{\phi}\left(\hat{\psi}_+^\dagger\hat{\psi}_- - \hat{\psi}_-^\dagger\hat{\psi}_+\right) + V(\hat{\phi}).\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

It is well known that at 1+ 1 spacetime dimensions, the fermionic operators can be expressed in terms of bosonic operators by means of vertex operators and the Klein factors. This procedure is called bosonization. The inverse procedure called fermionization also exist but less used in the literature [13]. The aim of this paper is to uniformize the quantum representation of the system, we choose to bosonize the fermionic operators in order to uniformize the Hamiltonian in term of only bosonic operators. We consider the Scrödinger picture where the variable time is fixed and that we choose equal to zero. The notation : : express the normal ordering in which the creation operators should be placed at the left of the annihilation operators. We bosonize then the chiral fermionic operators in term of chiral bosonic operators. This procedure has been already well done in [14], [15]. Refering then to previous results in the above cited papers, the chiral components of the fermionic operators in (39) are bosonized as follows

$$\hat{\psi}_\pm(x) = \frac{1}{L}e^{\pm\frac{i\pi}{L}x}e^{i\rho_\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{p}_\mp} : e^{\pm i\lambda\hat{\varphi}_\pm(x)} :; \tag{40}$$

$$\hat{\psi}_\pm^\dagger(x) = \frac{1}{L}e^{\pm\frac{i\pi}{L}x}e^{-i\rho_\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{p}_\mp} : e^{\mp i\lambda\hat{\varphi}_\pm(x)} :, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\pm}(x) = \hat{q}_{\pm} \pm \frac{2\pi}{L}\hat{p}_{\pm} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(a^{\dagger}_{\pm,n}e^{\pm\frac{2i\pi}{L}nx} + a_{\pm,n}e^{\mp\frac{2i\pi}{L}nx}\right), \tag{42}$$

are the chiral components of a real scalar bosonic field $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi}_{+} + \hat{\varphi}_{-}$. The parameters λ and $\rho_{\pm}$ are such that $\lambda = \pm 1$ and $\rho^{2}_{\pm} = 1 = \lambda^{2}$. The Klein factor is given by $e^{i\rho_{\pm}\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{p}_{\mp}}$. We have

$$\partial_1\hat{\varphi}_{\pm}(x) = \pm\frac{2i\pi}{L}\left(p_{\pm} + i\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\sqrt{n}\left(a^{\dagger}_{\pm,n}e^{\pm\frac{2i\pi}{L}nx} - a_{\pm,n}e^{\mp\frac{2i\pi}{L}nx}\right)\right), \tag{43}$$

and the algebra of the bosonic representation is

$$[\hat{q}_{\pm},\ \hat{p}_{\pm}] = i; \quad \left[a_{\pm,n},\ a^{\dagger}_{\pm,m}\right] = \delta_{nm}, \quad n,\ m \geq 1\ , \tag{44}$$

$$[\hat{\varphi}_{\pm}(x),\ \partial_1\hat{\varphi}_{\pm}(y)] = \pm 2i\pi\delta(x-y). \tag{45}$$

For the normal ordering, the convention is that the operators $\begin{pmatrix}\hat{q}_{\pm}\\ a^{\dagger}_{\pm,n}\end{pmatrix}$ should be placed at the left of the operators $\begin{pmatrix}\hat{p}_{\pm}\\ a_{\pm,n}\end{pmatrix}$. Using the procedure of point splitting, that is necessary for the well definition of the composites fermionic operators, and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorf formula, we show that

$$\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+}\partial_1\hat{\psi}_{+} - \partial_1\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+}\hat{\psi}_{+} = (-i)\left(\frac{1}{\pi}(\partial_1\hat{\varphi}_{+})^2 - \frac{\pi}{L^2}\right); \tag{46}$$

$$\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-}\partial_1\hat{\psi}_{-} - \partial_1\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-}\psi_{-} = (i)\left(\frac{1}{\pi}(\partial_1\hat{\varphi}_{-})^2 - \frac{\pi}{L^2}\right); \tag{47}$$

$$\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{+}\hat{\psi}_{-} - \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{-}\hat{\psi}_{+} = -\frac{2i}{L} : \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}(\rho_{+}\hat{p}_{-} - \rho_{-}\hat{p}_{+}) + \lambda(\hat{\varphi}_{+} + \hat{\varphi}_{-}] : \ . \tag{48}$$

For the above calculation, we do not use the Wilson lines as in [14],[15] but we use the procedure of point splitting as in [16]. We set now $\lambda = 1$ and the uniformized quantum Hamiltonian density of the 1+1 Yukawa model is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = : \frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}^{2}_{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\hat{\phi})^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi}(\partial_1\hat{\varphi}_{+})^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi}(\partial_1\hat{\varphi}_{-})^2 + \frac{2}{L}g\,\hat{\phi}\,\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\rho_{+}\hat{p}_{-} - \rho_{-}\hat{p_{+}}) + \hat{\varphi}_{+} + \hat{\varphi}_{-}\right) + V(\hat{\phi}) : - \frac{\pi}{L^2}, \tag{49}$$

where $\hat{\phi}$ is the pseudo-scalar field introduced at the classical level in (1) and $\hat{\varphi}_{\pm}$ the chiral components of the real scalar field $\hat{\varphi}$. The quantity $\frac{\pi}{L^2}$ can be interpreted as the Casimir Energy.

As concluding remarks, we can first notice the absence of first class constraints at the classical level, means no gauge symmetries generators, that makes the model more simple. We did not discuss about the symmetries and conserved charges. The potential is left arbitrary, a nice choice would be the Higgs potential. Some extensions of this work can be performed starting with the equation (49).

Acknowledgments

L. Gouba is supported by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Trieste, Italy.

---

[1] H. Yukawa, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan 17 (1935) 48.

[2] L. M. Abreu, E. S. Nery, A. P. C. Malbouisson, Size effects on the thermodynamic behavior of a simplified generalized scalar Yukawa model, Physical Review D 91, 087701 (2015).
[3] L. M. Abreu, A. P. C. Malbouisson, E. S. Nery, Phase structure of the scalar Yukawa model with compactified spatial dimensions, Modern Physics Letters A, vol. 31. No. 20 (2016) 1650121.
[4] Vladimir E. Rochev, Hermitian vs PT-Symmetric Scalar Yukawa Model, Journal of Modern Physics, 2016, 7, 899-907.
[5] Paul Federbush and Basilis Gildas, Renormalization of the One-Space Dimensional Yukawa Model by Unitary Transformations, Annals of Physics; 68, 98-101 (1971).
[6] A. Hague and S. D. Joglekar, Causality in 1+1 Dimensional Yukawa Model II, Pramana 81 (2013) 569 - 578, arxiv: 1004.2344 v2 [hep-th].
[7] Yang Li, V. A. Karmanov, P. Maris, J. P. Vary, Ab initio approach to the non-perturbative scalar Yukawa model, Physics Letters B, 748 (2015) 278-283.
[8] Oscar Akerlund, Philippe de Forcrand, Higgs-Yukawa model with higher dimension operators via extended mean field theory, Phys. Rev. D 93, 035015 (2016).
[9] J. Govaerts, Hamiltonian Quantization and Constrained Dynamics, Leuven University Press, Leuven, 1991.
[10] L. Gouba, Théories de jauge abéliennes scalaire et spinorielle à 1 + 1 dimensions: une étude non perturbative, Thèse de Doctorat (IMSP), Novembre 2005.
[11] J. Barcelos-Neto, Ashok Das and W. Scherer, Canonical Quantization of Constrained systems, Acta Physica Polonica, Vol B18 (1987), no 4.
[12] John R. Klauder, Quantization of Constrained Systems, Proceedings of the 39th Schladming Winter School on " Methods of Quantization", February 26-March 4, 2000, Schladming, Austria .
[13] L. Gouba, G. Y. H. Avossevou, J. Govaerts and M. N. Hounkonnou, Fermionization of a Two-Dimensional Free Massless Complex Scalar Field, in the Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Contemporary Problems in Mathematical Physics, J. Govaerts, M. N. Hounkonnou and A. Z. Msezane, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004), pp. 233 - 243, e-print, arXiv: hep-th/0408024 (August 2004).
[14] G. Y. H. Avossevou and J. Govaerts, The Schwinger Model and the Physical Projector: A Nonperturbative Quantization without Gauge Fixing, in the Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Contemporary Problems in Mathematical Physics, J Govaerts, M. N. Hounkonnou and A. Z. Mzezane, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002), pp. 374-394 e-print: arxiv: hep-th/0207277 (July 2002).
[15] G. Y. H. Avossevou, Théories de jauge et états physiques à 0+1 et 1+1 dimensions, Thèse de Doctorat (IMSP), Avril 2002.
[16] Jan von Delft, Herbert Schoeller, Bosonization for Beginners — Refermionization for Experts, Annalen Phys. 7 (1998) 225-305.